

SURVEY DETAILS FOR YNSMNG16 - 2024-04-25

Area Unique ID: YNSMNG16

Aspect: Geological Landscape

Area: Rhosgoch

Region: Anglesey

Survey Date: 2006-11-24

- Level 1: Lowland hills and valleys
- Level 2: Undulating lowland hill terrain
- Level 3: Other

Monitoring

Q1 - Date of monitoring?

- No Answer

Q1a - Monitoring undertaken by

- No Answer

Q1b - Has this record been updated following monitoring work?

- No Answer

Q1c - Change indicated by

- No Answer

Q1d - What has changed?

- No Answer

Q1e - Has the information ever been verified in the field?

- No

Q2 - Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?

- Yes
 - Forms part of a low east-west arcuate ridge related to the presence of the Caledonian, Carmel Thrust System [includes Parys Mountain (YNSMNG1), Myhydd Eilean (YNSMNG3), Mynydd Mechel (YNSMNG9), Mynydd y Garn (YNSMNG11), Llanrhyddiad (YNSMNG15) and Rhosgoch (YNSMNG16)].

Description

Q3 - If Classification is "Other", specify here

- Lowland hill/knoll

Q4 - What is the geographical and topographical character of this area?

- Low, south-west - north-east ridge with dominated by Ordovician rocks with occasional small rock outcrops. Lies within the Anglesey drumlin field (Llanfechel, etc aspect areas).

Q4a - Where bedrock dominated, what is the dominant bedrock type?

- Sedimentary

Q4b - Where bedrock dominated, what is the age that characterises the aspect area?

- Ordovician

Q4c - Where bedrock dominated, what is the major rock lithogy (-ies)?

- Slate

Q4d - Where drift dominated, what is the dominant drift deposit?

- No Answer

Q4e - Where drift dominated, what is the major sediment that characterises the area?

- No Answer

Q5 - What is the characteristic Level 3 component of the area?

- Other
 - Lowland hill/knoll

Q6 - Which of the following is a significant contributor to the geological character of the area?

- Stratigraphic formation(s)
 - Dominated by Ordovician rocks (unspecified but probably including Arenig).
- Structural features
 - Carmel Head Thrust System (Acadian).
- Past processes
 - Glacial

Q7 - What additional subsidiary Level 3 components are notable?

- No Answer

Q8 - What Level 4 components are notable in this area?

- Slope
- Hill top

Q9 - What active geological and geomorphological processes are significant in this area?

- None.
- Empty value

Q10 - Are there components of significant hydrological importance?

- No

Q11 - Are there any pedological processes that are significant in the area or have had a landscape forming effect?

- Not known

Q12 - Is there current mineral extraction?

- No

Q13 - Has there been mineral extraction in the past?

- Yes
 - Quarry present possibly for building/walling stone.

Q14 - Are there SSSI/GCR sites here?

- No

Q15 - Are there geological SINC, 2nd tier, or RIGS sites in the area?

- No

Evaluation

Q16 - Value

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and geology presumed to be widespread.

Q17 - Condition

- Good
 - Rural area with no significant development.

Q18 - Trend

- Constant
 - Rural area with no significant development.

Recommendations

Q19 - Existing management

- Generally Appropriate

Q20 - Existing management remarks:

- Rural area with no significant development.

Q21 - Principal management recommendations

- Ensure that no significant geological or geomorphological features are lost or damaged (e.g. due to development).

Q22 - Guideline

- Long Term
 - Ensure that no significant geological or geomorphological features are lost or damaged (e.g. due to development).

Tolerance To Change

Q23 - Are there any significant threats to the current integrity and condition of the Earth Heritage features of the area?

- No

Aspect Area Boundary

Q24 - To what level was this information site-surveyed?

- Level 3

Q25 - At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?

- Most
 - Aspect Area boundaries surveyed at 1:10,000, mapped at 1:25,000.

Q26 - What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?

- Other
 - British Geological Survey maps, aerial photographs, OS 1:25,000 Landranger topographical map.

Q27 - If OS Data was used, what was the scale?

- 1:25,000

Q28 - What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?

- Break of slope at base of solid geology massif and junction with drift systems.

Evaluation Matrix

Q29 - Evaluation Criteria: Research Value

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and geology presumed to be widespread.

Q29a - Evaluation Criteria: Educational Value

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded.

Q30 - Evaluation Criteria: Historical Value

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded.

Q31 - Evaluation Criteria: Rarity / Uniqueness

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded and geology presumed to be widespread.

Q32 - Evaluation Criteria: Classic Example

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded and geology presumed to be widespread.

Q33 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and geology presumed to be widespread.

Q34 - Justification of overall evaluation

- No notable sites recorded and geology presumed to be widespread.

Bibliography

Q35 - List the key sources used for this assessment

- BASSETT, D.A. 1974, Geological Society of London, Special Report, 14-42; BATES, D.E.B. 1972, Geological Journal 8: 19-58; BATES, D.E.B. & DAVIES, J.R. 1982, Geologist's Association Guide 40; GREENLY, E. 1919, Memoir of the Geological Survey of Great Britain, 78; INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES 1974. Anglesey, 1:50,000 Special Sheet, Geological Survey of Great Britain; ORDNANCE SURVEY 2005a, Ordnance Survey Explorer Map 263 (1:25, 000); ORDNANCE SURVEY 2005b, Ordnance Survey Explorer Map 262 (1:25, 000); RUSHTON, A.W.A. et al. 1999, Geological Conservation Review Series 18; www.geomon.org.uk.

Assessment

Q36 - Additional Assessments

- None.

Q37 - Additional Comments

- Additional Level 4 features include: Disused quarry.

SURVEY DETAILS FOR YNSMNG17 - 2024-04-25

Area Unique ID: YNSMNG17

Aspect: Geological Landscape

Area: Gwredog

Region: Anglesey

Survey Date: 2006-11-24

- Level 1: Lowland hills and valleys
- Level 2: Lowland glacial and fluvio-glacial depositional terrain
- Level 3: Lowland till plain / field

Monitoring

Q1 - Date of monitoring?

- No Answer

Q1a - Monitoring undertaken by

- No Answer

Q1b - Has this record been updated following monitoring work?

- No Answer

Q1c - Change indicated by

- No Answer

Q1d - What has changed?

- No Answer

Q1e - Has the information ever been verified in the field?

- No

Q2 - Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?

- Yes
 - Part of the Anglesey drumlin field [includes Llanfechel (YNSMNG12), Penrhyn (YNSMNG14), Gwredog (YNSMNG17), Bodedern (YNSMNG19) and Cleifiog Isal (YNSMNG74)].

Description

Q3 - If Classification is "Other", specify here

- N/A.

Q4 - What is the geographical and topographical character of this area?

- Isolated group of well defined drumlins (mounds of glacial clay (Quaternary: Pleistocene) on the east side of the Llyn Alaw reservoir.

Q4a - Where bedrock dominated, what is the dominant bedrock type?

- No Answer

Q4b - Where bedrock dominated, what is the age that characterises the aspect area?

- No Answer

Q4c - Where bedrock dominated, what is the major rock lithogy (-ies)?

- No Answer

Q4d - Where drift dominated, what is the dominant drift deposit?

- Glacial

Q4e - Where drift dominated, what is the major sediment that characterises the area?

- Boulder clay/till

Q5 - What is the characteristic Level 3 component of the area?

- Lowland till plain / field

Q6 - Which of the following is a significant contributor to the geological character of the area?

- Superficial deposits
 - Till [Quaternary: Pleistocene (Devensian)].
- Active processes
 - Stream.
- Past processes
 - Glacial.

Q7 - What additional subsidiary Level 3 components are notable?

- No Answer

Q8 - What Level 4 components are notable in this area?

- Slope
- Hill top
- Drumlin / Drumlin field

Q9 - What active geological and geomorphological processes are significant in this area?

- Stream.
- Empty value

Q10 - Are there components of significant hydrological importance?

- Yes
 - Stream.

Q11 - Are there any pedological processes that are significant in the area or have had a landscape forming effect?

- Not known

Q12 - Is there current mineral extraction?

- No

Q13 - Has there been mineral extraction in the past?

- No

Q14 - Are there SSSI/GCR sites here?

- No

Q15 - Are there geological SINIC, 2nd tier, or RIGS sites in the area?

- No

Evaluation

Q16 - Value

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and forms a small part of a widespread feature.

Q17 - Condition

- Good
 - Rural area with no significant development.

Q18 - Trend

- Constant
 - Rural area with no significant development.

Recommendations

Q19 - Existing management

- Generally Appropriate

Q20 - Existing management remarks:

- Rural area with no significant development.

Q21 - Principal management recommendations

- Ensure that no significant geological or geomorphological features are lost or damaged (e.g. due to development).

Q22 - Guideline

- Long Term
 - Ensure that no significant geological or geomorphological features are lost or damaged (e.g. due to development).

Tolerance To Change

Q23 - Are there any significant threats to the current integrity and condition of the Earth Heritage features of the area?

- No

Aspect Area Boundary

Q24 - To what level was this information site-surveyed?

- Level 3

Q25 - At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?

- Most
 - Aspect Area boundaries surveyed at 1:10,000, mapped at 1:25,000.

Q26 - What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?

- Other
 - British Geological Survey maps, aerial photographs, OS 1:25,000 Landranger topographical map.

Q27 - If OS Data was used, what was the scale?

- 1:25,000

Q28 - What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?

- Break of slope adjacent to main valley systems and base of mounds at margins of main drumlin field.

Evaluation Matrix

Q29 - Evaluation Criteria: Research Value

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and forms a small part of a widespread feature.

Q29a - Evaluation Criteria: Educational Value

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded.

Q30 - Evaluation Criteria: Historical Value

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded.

Q31 - Evaluation Criteria: Rarity / Uniqueness

- Low
 - Forms a small part of a widespread feature.

Q32 - Evaluation Criteria: Classic Example

- Moderate
 - Forms a small part of a widespread feature.

Q33 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and forms a small part of a widespread feature.

Q34 - Justification of overall evaluation

- No notable sites recorded and forms a small part of a widespread feature.

Bibliography

Q35 - List the key sources used for this assessment

- BATES, D.E.B. & DAVIES, J.R. 1982, Geologist's Association Guide 40; CAMPBELL, S. & BOWEN, D.Q. 1989. Geological Conservation Review, Quaternary of Wales; GREENLY, E. 1919, Memoir of the Geological Survey of Great Britain, 78; INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES 1974. Anglesey, 1:50,000 Special Sheet, Geological Survey of Great Britain; ORDNANCE SURVEY 2005b, Ordnance Survey Explorer Map 262 (1:25, 000); www.geomon.org.uk.

Assessment

Q36 - Additional Assessments

- None.

Q37 - Additional Comments

- Additional Level 4 features include: Stream.

SURVEY DETAILS FOR YNSMNGL018 - 2024-04-25

Area Unique ID: YNSMNGL018

Aspect: Geological Landscape

Area: Afon Alaw

Region: Anglesey

Survey Date: 2006-11-24

- Level 1: Lowland hills and valleys
- Level 2: Lowland river and drainage systems
- Level 3: Active lowland river-flood plain system

Monitoring

Q1 - Date of monitoring?

- No Answer

Q1a - Monitoring undertaken by

- No Answer

Q1b - Has this record been updated following monitoring work?

- No Answer

Q1c - Change indicated by

- No Answer

Q1d - What has changed?

- No Answer

Q1e - Has the information ever been verified in the field?

- No

Q2 - Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?

- No

Description

Q3 - If Classification is "Other", specify here

- N/A.

Q4 - What is the geographical and topographical character of this area?

- Drainage system representing the valley of the River Alaw and its main tributaries - partly drowned by the Llyn Alaw Reservoir. Includes broad flat, drift-filled depressions, some at least are likely to have been former lakes. Main river course includes narrow flood-plain/terrace areas and flows west-south-westwards to the sea (Quaternary: Recent). Lies within the Anglesey drumlin field (Llanfechel, etc aspect areas).

Q4a - Where bedrock dominated, what is the dominant bedrock type?

- No Answer

Q4b - Where bedrock dominated, what is the age that characterises the aspect area?

- No Answer

Q4c - Where bedrock dominated, what is the major rock lithogy (-ies)?

- No Answer

Q4d - Where drift dominated, what is the dominant drift deposit?

- Fluvial

Q4e - Where drift dominated, what is the major sediment that characterises the area?

- Other

Q5 - What is the characteristic Level 3 component of the area?

- Active lowland river-flood plain system

Q6 - Which of the following is a significant contributor to the geological character of the area?

- Superficial deposits
 - Alluvium, lake and mire deposits [Quaternary: Recent (Holocene)].
- Active processes
 - Fluvial, mire.
- Past processes
 - Lake?

Q7 - What additional subsidiary Level 3 components are notable?

- Artificial water bodies and channels

Q8 - What Level 4 components are notable in this area?

- Lake / reservoir (artificial)
- River channel (natural)
- Flood plain
- Former lake (e.g. silted up)
- Urban / industrial development

Q9 - What active geological and geomorphological processes are significant in this area?

- Fluvial, mire.
- Empty value

Q10 - Are there components of significant hydrological importance?

- Yes
 - Fluvial, mire.

Q11 - Are there any pedological processes that are significant in the area or have had a landscape forming effect?

- Yes
 - Mire.

Q12 - Is there current mineral extraction?

- No

Q13 - Has there been mineral extraction in the past?

- No

Q14 - Are there SSSI/GCR sites here?

- No

Q15 - Are there geological SINC, 2nd tier, or RIGS sites in the area?

- No

Evaluation

Q16 - Value

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and geomorphology typical of feature/process and not known to be exceptional.

Q17 - Condition

- Good
 - Dominantly rural area with little significant development

Q18 - Trend

- Constant
 - Dominantly rural area with little significant development

Recommendations

Q19 - Existing management

- Generally Appropriate

Q20 - Existing management remarks:

- Dominantly rural area with little significant development

Q21 - Principal management recommendations

- Maintain natural evolving system, minimise future intervention and ensure that no significant geological or geomorphological features are lost or damaged.

Q22 - Guideline

- Long Term
 - Maintain natural evolving system, minimise future intervention and ensure that no significant geological or geomorphological features are lost or damaged.

Tolerance To Change

Q23 - Are there any significant threats to the current integrity and condition of the Earth Heritage features of the area?

- Yes
 - Reservoir and other large scale constructions can significantly affect the landscape of the area; prior assessment of geological exposures and geomorphology to prevent further damage is therefore essential.

Aspect Area Boundary

Q24 - To what level was this information site-surveyed?

- Level 3

Q25 - At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?

- Most
 - Aspect Area boundaries surveyed at 1:10,000, mapped at 1:25,000.

Q26 - What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?

- Other
 - British Geological Survey maps, aerial photographs, OS 1:25,000 Landranger topographical map.

Q27 - If OS Data was used, what was the scale?

- 1:25,000

Q28 - What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?

- Break of slope at base of solid geology massif and features at edge of active river/floodplain system.

Evaluation Matrix

Q29 - Evaluation Criteria: Research Value

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and geomorphology typical of feature/process and not known to be exceptional.

Q29a - Evaluation Criteria: Educational Value

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded.

Q30 - Evaluation Criteria: Historical Value

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded and geomorphology typical of feature/process and not known to be exceptional.

Q31 - Evaluation Criteria: Rarity / Uniqueness

- Low
 - Geomorphology typical of feature/process and not known to be exceptional.

Q32 - Evaluation Criteria: Classic Example

- Low
 - No notable sites recorded.

Q33 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation

- Moderate
 - No notable sites recorded and geomorphology typical of feature/process and not known to be exceptional.

Q34 - Justification of overall evaluation

- No notable sites recorded and geomorphology typical of feature/process and not known to be exceptional.

Bibliography

Q35 - List the key sources used for this assessment

- GREENLY, E. 1919, Memoir of the Geological Survey of Great Britain, 78; INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES 1974. Anglesey, 1:50,000 Special Sheet, Geological Survey of Great Britain; ORDNANCE SURVEY 2005b, Ordnance Survey Explorer Map 262 (1:25, 000); www.geomon.org.uk.

Assessment

Q36 - Additional Assessments

- None.

Q37 - Additional Comments

- Additional Level 4 features include: Stream;Meander; Marsh/bog/fen.

SURVEY DETAILS FOR YNSMNGL020 - 2024-04-25

Area Unique ID: YNSMNGL020

Aspect: Geological Landscape

Area: Llanerchymedd

Region: Anglesey

Survey Date: 2006-11-24

- Level 1: Lowland hills and valleys
- Level 2: Lowland glacial and fluvioglacial depositional terrain
- Level 3: Other

Monitoring

Q1 - Date of monitoring?

- No Answer

Q1a - Monitoring undertaken by

- No Answer

Q1b - Has this record been updated following monitoring work?

- No Answer

Q1c - Change indicated by

- No Answer

Q1d - What has changed?

- No Answer

Q1e - Has the information ever been verified in the field?

- Yes
 - Field traverse.

Q2 - Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?

- No

Description

Q3 - If Classification is "Other", specify here

- Glacio-depositional topography/veneer.

Q4 - What is the geographical and topographical character of this area?

- Extensive area occupying most of central Anglesey and characterised by a glacial clay covered surface (Quaternary: Pleistocene) without significant areas of drumlin development. Cover appears to be relatively thin, however, as the area has a broadly south-west, north-east gently rolling topography representing the trend of the bedrock outcrop below. In low areas and valleys, small craggy outcrops of Monian Supergroup metamorphic rocks are commonly present (Cambrian), although too small to be mapped as separate aspect areas. Ordovician rocks area also locally exposed. Many minor stream systems and drift filled depressions / former lakes, are also present.

Q4a - Where bedrock dominated, what is the dominant bedrock type?

- No Answer

Q4b - Where bedrock dominated, what is the age that characterises the aspect area?

- No Answer

Q4c - Where bedrock dominated, what is the major rock lithogy (-ies)?

- No Answer

Q4d - Where drift dominated, what is the dominant drift deposit?

- Glacial

Q4e - Where drift dominated, what is the major sediment that characterises the area?

- Boulder clay/till

Q5 - What is the characteristic Level 3 component of the area?

- Other
 - Glacio-depositional topography/veneer

Q6 - Which of the following is a significant contributor to the geological character of the area?

- Stratigraphic formation(s)
 - Minor Coedana Complex (including Coedana Granite) [Precambrian: Neoproterozoic (Cryogenian-Ediacaran)], Monian Supergroup (including Gwna Group) [Cambrian], Carmel Formation, Treiorwerth Formation [Ordovician: Arenig (Fennian)]; Nantannog Formation [Ordovician: Arenig (Fennian) to mid Llanvirn (Aberian)] and probably also Llanbabo Formation [Ordovician: Caradoc, including Aurelucian], etc.
- Superficial deposits
 - Till dominated [Quaternary: Pleistocene (Devensian)].
- Structural features
 - Includes the central Anglesey Shear Zone and area has generally Caledonian SW-NE to SSE-NNE orientation.
- Active processes
 - Fluvial (stream, spring, mire).
- Past processes
 - Glacial.

Q7 - What additional subsidiary Level 3 components are notable?

- Undulating lowland hill terrain

Q8 - What Level 4 components are notable in this area?

- Lagoon/lake/pool (natural)
- Slope
- Hill top
- Spring
- Urban / industrial development
- Engineered topography

Q9 - What active geological and geomorphological processes are significant in this area?

- Fluvial (stream, spring, mire).
- Empty value

Q10 - Are there components of significant hydrological importance?

- Yes
 - Fluvial (stream, spring, mire).

Q11 - Are there any pedological processes that are significant in the area or have had a landscape forming effect?

- Yes
 - Valley mire?

Q12 - Is there current mineral extraction?

- No

Q13 - Has there been mineral extraction in the past?

- Yes
 - Probable use of Monian schist, etc for building/walling.

Q14 - Are there SSSI/GCR sites here?

- Yes
 - Nantannog Ravine GCR site (Nantannog SSSI. Ordovician: Arenig-Llanvirn); Treiorwerth and Ty-hen GCR site (Ordovician: Arenig-Llanvirn); Tyddyn Gyrfer potential GCR site (Precambrian of England and Wales) = Tyddyn Gyrfer RIGS (Scientific: Stratigraphy - Precambrian: Coedana Reference Site) [Site 132]; Maen-gwyn Farm potential GCR site (Precambrian of England and Wales) = Maen Gwyn RIGS (Scientific: Stratigraphy - Precambrian: Coedana Reference Site) [Site 128].

Q15 - Are there geological SINC, 2nd tier, or RIGS sites in the area?

- Yes
 - Cae Mawr Quarry RIGS (Scientific/ Educational: Igneous - Precambrian Reference Site: Picrite) [Site 294]; Bachau Hornfels RIGS (Scientific/Educational: Stratigraphy - Precambrian Reference Site: Hornfels and fault) [Site 295]; Llanerchymedd Erratic RIGS (Scientific: Quaternary & Geomorphology - Quaternary: Erratics) [Site 139].

Evaluation

Q16 - Value

- High

Q17 - Condition

- Good
 - Dominantly rural area with limited significant development.

Q18 - Trend

- Constant
 - Dominantly rural area with limited significant development.

Recommendations

Q19 - Existing management

- Generally Appropriate

Q20 - Existing management remarks:

- Dominantly rural area with limited significant development.

Q21 - Principal management recommendations

- Maintain SSSIs in favourable condition by implementation of management plans and ensure that RIGS sites are safeguarded using Local Plan policies and constraint mapping and that other significant features of geological or geomorphological significance in the area are not lost/damaged due to development, etc.

Q22 - Guideline

- Immediate
 - Maintain SSSIs in favourable condition by implementation of management plans.
- Immediate
 - Ensure that RIGS sites are safeguarded using Local Plan policies and constraint mapping. N.B. survey needed of Ordovician geology to ensure adequate RIGS coverage.
- Long Term
 - Ensure that other significant features of geological or geomorphological significance in the area are not lost/damaged due to development, etc.

Tolerance To Change

Q23 - Are there any significant threats to the current integrity and condition of the Earth Heritage features of the area?

- No

Aspect Area Boundary

Q24 - To what level was this information site-surveyed?

- Level 3

Q25 - At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?

- Most
 - Aspect Area boundaries surveyed at 1:10,000, mapped at 1:25,000.

Q26 - What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?

- Other
 - British Geological Survey maps, aerial photographs, OS 1:25,000 Landranger topographical map.

Q27 - If OS Data was used, what was the scale?

- 1:25,000

Q28 - What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?

- Break of slope adjacent to main valley systems, solid geology massifs and base of mounds at margins of main drumlin field.

Evaluation Matrix

Q29 - Evaluation Criteria: Research Value

- Outstanding

Q29a - Evaluation Criteria: Educational Value

- High
 - The AA contains two SSSIs, 2 potential GCR sites/RIGS and 3 other RIGS.

Q30 - Evaluation Criteria: Historical Value

- High
 - Although the AA contains two SSSIs, 2 potential GCR sites/RIGS and 3 other RIGS, they are small in comparison to the area as a whole. They indicate a geology/geomorphology of outstanding value locally, but overall a valuation of "high" is most appropriate in a landscape sense.

Q31 - Evaluation Criteria: Rarity / Uniqueness

- High
 - Although the AA contains two SSSIs, 2 potential GCR sites/RIGS and 3 other RIGS, they are small in comparison to the area as a whole. They indicate a geology/geomorphology of outstanding value locally, but overall a valuation of "high" is most appropriate in a landscape sense.

Q32 - Evaluation Criteria: Classic Example

- High
 - Although the AA contains two SSSIs, 2 potential GCR sites/RIGS and 3 other RIGS, they are small in comparison to the area as a whole. They indicate a geology/geomorphology of outstanding value locally, but overall a valuation of "high" is most appropriate in a landscape sense.

Q33 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation

- High
 - Although the AA contains two SSSIs, 2 potential GCR sites/RIGS and 3 other RIGS, they are small in comparison to the area as a whole. They indicate a geology/geomorphology of outstanding value locally, but overall a valuation of "high" is most appropriate in a landscape sense.

Q34 - Justification of overall evaluation

- Although the AA contains two SSSIs, 2 potential GCR sites/RIGS and 3 other RIGS, they are small in comparison to the area as a whole. They indicate a geology/geomorphology of outstanding value locally, but overall a valuation of "high" is most appropriate in a landscape sense.